

HASSE PRINCIPLE FOR HERMITIAN SPACES OVER SEMI-GLOBAL FIELDS

ZHENGYAO WU

ABSTRACT. In a recent paper, Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh conjectured that a local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under connected linear algebraic groups over function fields of p -adic curves. In this paper, we show that the conjecture is true for any linear algebraic group whose almost simple factors of its semisimple part are isogenous to unitary groups or special unitary groups of hermitian or skew-hermitian spaces over central simple algebras with involutions. The proof implements patching techniques of Harbater, Hartmann and Krashen. As an application, we obtain a Springer-type theorem for isotropy of hermitian spaces over odd degree extensions of function fields of p -adic curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Complete regular local ring of dimension 2	8
4. The main theorem	14
5. Application: Odd degree extensions	17
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k . Let K be the field of fractions of T . Let F be the function field of a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve $\mathcal{X}_0$ over K . Recently, such a field F has been called a *semi-global* field. Let Ω be the set of all rank one discrete valuations on F (or the set of all divisorial discrete valuations from all codimension one points of all regular projective models $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(T)$ of the curve $\mathcal{X}_0$). For each $v \in \Omega$, let F_v be the completion of F at v . Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over F and let X be a projective homogeneous space under G over F . We say that the *Hasse principle holds* for X if

$$\prod_{v \in \Omega} X(F_v) \neq \emptyset \implies X(F) \neq \emptyset.$$

Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh [CTPS12, 3.1] have proved that if $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$ and $q : V \rightarrow F$ is a quadratic form with $\dim_F(V) \geq 3$, then the Hasse principle

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E39, 11E72, 14G05, 20G35.

Key words and phrases. hermitian; hasse principle; local-global principle; isotropic; patching; projective homogeneous; twisted flag variety.

holds for every projective homogeneous space under $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Reddy and Suresh [RS13, 2.6] have proved that if A is a central simple F -algebra of degree coprime to $\mathrm{char} k$, then the Hasse principle holds for every projective homogeneous space under $\mathrm{PGL}_1(A)$. After [CTOP02, 3.1] and [CTGP04, 5.7], Harbater, Hartmann and Krashen [HHK11, 9.2] have proved that if k is algebraically closed and $\mathrm{char} k = 0$, then the Hasse principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under connected *rational* groups.

In this article we explore the Hasse principle for projective homogeneous spaces under G over F for certain groups of classical types.

Assumption 1.1. Suppose K, k, F, Ω, G, X are as before and $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$. Let A be a finite-dimensional simple associative F -algebra with an involution σ such that $F = Z(A)^\sigma$. Let $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ be an ε -hermitian space over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Let

$$G = \begin{cases} \mathrm{SU}(A, \sigma, h), & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the first kind;} \\ \mathrm{U}(A, \sigma, h), & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the second kind.} \end{cases}$$

In section 3 and section 4, we will prove the following Hasse principle for discrete valuations based on the Hasse principle [HHK09, 3.7] with respect to patching.

Theorem 1.2 (Main). *Under Assumption 1.1, suppose that at least one of the following is satisfied.*

- (1) $\mathrm{ind}(A) \leq 2$;
- (2) $\mathrm{per}(A) = 2$, $|l^*/l^{*2}| \leq 2$ and ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(l) = 0$ for all finite extensions l/k .

Then the Hasse principle holds for X .

Remark. In case (1), the underlying division algebra of A is F , or a quadratic field extension of F , or a quaternion division algebra with center F , or a quaternion division algebra whose center is a quadratic extension of F .

In case (2), if σ is of the first kind, then $\mathrm{per}(A) = 2$ since $A \simeq A^{\mathrm{op}}$; if σ is of the second kind, in general we do not have $\mathrm{per}(A) = 2$. By [Ser79, XIII, §2], examples of such k in (2) are finite fields or fields of Laurent series with coefficients in an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, for example $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh [CTPS12, conj. 1] made the following

Conjecture 1.3. Let K be a p -adic field and F a function field of a curve over K . Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over F and let X be a projective homogeneous space under G over F . Then the Hasse principle holds for X .

Summarizing [RS13, 2.6], [CTPS12, 3.1] and Theorem 1.2, we have the following:

Corollary 1.4. *Under Assumption 1.1, let K be a p -adic field. Then the conjecture of Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh is true for X under G such that there exists an isogeny from a product of almost simple groups of one of the following types to the semisimple group $G/\mathrm{Rad}(G)$.*

$${}^1A_n, \quad {}^2A_n^*, \quad B_n, \quad C_n, \quad D_n \text{ (} D_4 \text{ nontrialitarian),}$$

where ${}^2A_n^*$ means that the almost simple factor is isogenous to a unitary group $\mathrm{U}(A, \sigma, h)$ such that σ is of the second kind and $\mathrm{per}(A) = 2$.

Let F be a field of characteristic not 2. Let q be a quadratic form over F . Springer [Spr52] proved that if q is isotropic over an odd degree extension of F , then q is isotropic over F . Let A be a central simple algebra over F with an involution σ . Let

$h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ be an ε -hermitian form over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Let M be an odd degree extension of F . It is natural to ask whether the isotropy of h_M implies the isotropy of h . This question has been studied by many mathematicians and they have obtained partial answers. Bayer-Fluckiger and Lenstra [BFL90] proved that if h_M is hyperbolic, then h is hyperbolic. Lewis [Lew00] and Barqu ero-Salavert [BS06] proved that if h_1 and h_2 are two ε -hermitian spaces such that $(h_1)_M \simeq (h_2)_M$, then $h_1 \simeq h_2$. Parimala, Sridharan and Suresh [PSS01] proved that it is true if A is a quaternion algebra and σ is of the first kind; they also provided an example to show that this is not true in general if $\text{ind}(A)$ is odd and σ of the second kind. Let $E = \text{End}_A(V)$ and let τ be the adjoint involution of h . Black and Qu guiner-Mathieu [BQM14] proved that it is true when $\deg E = 12$ and τ is orthogonal; and it is also true when $\deg E = 6$, $\text{per } E = 2$ and τ is unitary. In section 5, we will prove the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Let p be an odd prime. Let K be a p -adic field. Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let Ω be the set of all rank one discrete valuations on F . Let A be a finite-dimensional central simple F -algebra with an involution σ of the first kind. Let h be an ε -hermitian space over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Let M be an odd degree extension of F . If h_M is isotropic, then h is isotropic.*

Acknowledgements.

The author thanks his advisor Professor V. Suresh for thorough detailed instructions and Professor R. Parimala for helpful discussions. The author also thanks Emory university, where he studies mathematics.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Projective homogeneous spaces. Let F be an arbitrary field, $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$. Let A be a central simple algebra whose center $Z(A)$ is a field extension of F . Let σ be an involution on A such that $Z(A)^\sigma = F$. Let V be a finitely generated right A -module and let $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ be an ε -hermitian form over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. A submodule W of V is *totally isotropic* if $h(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in W$.

If

$$G = G(A, \sigma, h) = \begin{cases} \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the first kind;} \\ \text{U}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the second kind,} \end{cases}$$

then G is a connected *rational* linear algebraic group over F by Cayley parametrization (see [CP98, Lem. 5] or [Mer96, p. 195, Lem. 1]). Let X be a projective homogeneous space under G over F . In this section, we describe X .

By Wedderburn's theorem, $A = M_m(D)$ for a central division algebra D over F . Let W be a finitely generated right A -module, Then $W \simeq (D^m)^s$ for an integer $s \geq 0$. Then $\dim_F(W) = sm \dim_F(D) = s \deg(A) \text{ind}(A)$. The reduced dimension [KMRT98, 1.9] of W over A is defined to be $\text{rdim}_A(W) = \dim_F(W) / \deg(A) = s \text{ind}(A)$.

Let $n = \text{Rank}_F(G)$. Then

$$\text{rdim}(V) = \begin{cases} n + 1, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is unitary;} \\ 2n + 1, & \text{if } A = F, \sigma = \text{Id}_F \text{ and } \dim_F(V) \text{ is odd;} \\ 2n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r \leq n$ be an increasing sequence of integers. For every field extension L/F , let

$$X(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) = \{(W_1, \dots, W_r) \mid 0 \subsetneq W_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq W_r, W_i \text{ is a totally isotropic subspace of } V_L, \text{rdim}_{A_L} W_i = n_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Alternatively, by [KMRT98, 6.2] and [Kar00, 16.4],

$$X(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) = \{(I_1, \dots, I_r) \mid 0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq I_r, I_j \text{ is a totally isotropic ideal of } \text{End}_{A_L}(V_L), \text{rdim}_{A_L} I_j = n_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq r\}.$$

When $r = 1$, we denote $X(n_1)$ by X_{n_1} . Let ad_h denote the adjoint involution of h on $\text{End}_A(V)$. By [MPW96], if ad_h is orthogonal, $\text{disc}(h) = 1$, $r = 1$ and $n_1 = n$, then X_n has two connected components X_n^+ and X_n^- . In this case, for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, denote

$$(2.1) \quad X^\varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) = \{(I_1, \dots, I_r) \in X(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \mid I_r \in X_n^\varepsilon(L)\},$$

By [MPW96, MPW98, sec. 5 and sec. 9], the following X is a projective homogeneous space under G and any projective homogeneous space under G has this form.

$$(2.2) \quad X = \begin{cases} X(n_1, \dots, n_r), n_r < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is unitary;} \\ X(n_1, \dots, n_r), & \text{if } A = F, \sigma = \text{Id}_F \text{ and } \dim_F(V) \text{ is odd;} \\ X(n_1, \dots, n_r), & \text{if } \text{ad}_h \text{ is symplectic;} \\ X(n_1, \dots, n_r), n_r < n, & \text{if } \text{ad}_h \text{ is orthogonal and } \text{disc}(h) \neq 1; \\ \begin{cases} X(n_1, \dots, n_r), n_r < n \text{ or} \\ X^\pm(n_1, \dots, n_r), \\ n_{r-1} < n-1 \text{ (if } r > 1), n_r = n \end{cases} & \text{if } \text{ad}_h \text{ is orthogonal and } \text{disc}(h) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([MPW96, MPW98, sec. 5 and sec. 9]). *Let $0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r \leq n$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Let L/F be a field extension. Then*

- (1) $X(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_{n_r}(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{ind}(A_L) \mid \gcd\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$.
- (2) $X^\varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_{n_r}^\varepsilon(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{ind}(A_L) \mid \gcd\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$.

2.2. Morita equivalence. Since $A = M_m(D)$ for a central division algebra D over F and σ is an involution on A , by [KMRT98, 3.1, 3.11, 3.20], D also has an involution τ of same kind as σ . By Morita equivalence [Knu91, ch. I, 9.3.5], there exists an ε' -hermitian form (V_0, h_0) over (D, τ) for $\varepsilon' \in \{1, -1\}$. By the definition of the reduced dimension we have $\text{rdim}_A(V) = \text{rdim}_D(V_0)$. For $0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r \leq n$, let X be the projective homogeneous space under $G(A, \sigma, h)$ and X_0 be the projective homogeneous space under $G(D, \tau, h_0)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([Kar00, 16.10]). $X(n_1, \dots, n_r) \simeq X_0(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

Actually, we only need $X(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset \iff X_0(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset$. This is true since Morita equivalence preserves isotropy [Knu91, ch. I, 9.3.5] and it preserves reduced dimension.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $\text{rdim}(V) = 2n$, ad_h is orthogonal, $\text{disc}(h) = 1$, $n_{r-1} < n-1$ (if $r > 1$) and $n_r = n$. If $\text{ind}(A_L) \mid \gcd\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$, then $X^\varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_0^\varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_r)(L) \neq \emptyset$, for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$,

$$X_n^\varepsilon(L) \neq \emptyset \iff (X_0)_n^\varepsilon(L) \neq \emptyset.$$

This is true by the definition of X_n^ε (see the paragraph at [MPW96, p.577, 5.41, 5.42]). $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose $\text{rdim}(V) = 2n$, ad_h is orthogonal, $\text{disc}(h) = 1$, $n_{r-1} < n-1$ (if $r > 1$) and $n_r = n$. Let $X^\varepsilon = X^\varepsilon(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Then $X^+(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $X^-(L) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if A_L is split and h_L is hyperbolic.*

Proof. Suppose that A_L is split and h_L is hyperbolic. Then h_L is Morita equivalent to a hyperbolic quadratic form q over L . Let $X_0^\pm$ be corresponding projective homogeneous spaces under $\text{SO}_{2n}(q)$. Since the Witt index of q is n , we have $(X_0)_n^+(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $(X_0)_n^-(L) \neq \emptyset$. Since A_L is split, we have $\text{ind}(A_L) = 1 \mid \gcd\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$. By Lemma 2.3(2), $X_0^+(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $X_0^-(L) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.5, $X^+(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $X^-(L) \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, suppose $X^+(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $X^-(L) \neq \emptyset$. Let $W^+ \in X^+(L)$ and $W^- \in X^-(L)$. Since there exists a totally isotropic subspace of h_L of reduced dimension n , which is equal to the Witt index of h_L , we have that h_L is hyperbolic. By Witt's extension theorem [Bou07, § 4, no. 3, th. 1] there exists $\varphi \in \text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$ such that $\varphi(W^+) = W^-$. Since $\text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$ sends $X^+(L)$ into $X^+(L)$ and $X^-(L)$ into $X^-(L)$, we obtain $\varphi \notin \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$. Thus, by [Kne69, 2.6, lem. 1. a)], A_L is split. $\square$

2.3. Ramification and patching data. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular integral scheme with function field F . For every codimension one point x of $\mathcal{X}$, let $k(x)$ denote the residue field at x . Let l be a prime which is unit at every point of $\mathcal{X}$. Let ${}_l\text{Br}(F)$ be the l -torsion subgroup of the Brauer group $\text{Br}(F)$ of F . Then there is a residue homomorphism $\partial_x : {}_l\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow H^1(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$. We say that an element $\alpha \in {}_l\text{Br}(F)$ is *ramified* at x if $\partial_x(\alpha) \neq 0$; we say that α is *unramified* at x if $\partial_x(\alpha) = 0$. The *ramification divisor* of α is defined as $\sum x$, where x runs over all codimension one points of $\mathcal{X}$ with $\partial_x(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Let K be a complete discretely valued field with ring of integers T with a parameter t and residue field k . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular proper two dimensional scheme over $\text{Spec}(T)$ with function field F and $\mathcal{X}_1$ its special fiber. Let l be a prime not equal to $\text{char } k$. Let $\alpha \in {}_l\text{Br}(F)$. Then there exists a regular proper model $\mathcal{X}$ of F with the support of the ramification divisor of α and $\mathcal{X}_1$ is a union of regular curves with only normal crossings (see [Lip75] or [Abh69]). For the patching data, we adopt notations similar to [HHK09, 3.3]. For every closed point P of $\mathcal{X}$, let $\widehat{R}_P$ be the completion of the local ring R_P of $\mathcal{X}$ at P and $F_P = \text{Frac}(\widehat{R}_P)$. Let $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}$ and U be a non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ containing only smooth points. Let R_U be the set of elements in F which are regular at every closed point of U . Let $\widehat{R}_U$ be the (t) -adic completion of R_U and $F_U = \text{Frac}(\widehat{R}_U)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite set of closed points of $\mathcal{X}$ of our choice and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of connected components of the complement of $\mathcal{P}$ in the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. Harbater, Hartmann and Krashen [HHK09, 3.7] have proved that if G is a rational connected linear algebraic group over F and X is a projective homogeneous space under G , then

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X(F_P) \times \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} X(F_U) \neq \emptyset \implies X(F) \neq \emptyset.$$

2.4. Complete discrete valued field. In this section we recall a theorem of Larmour on Hermitian spaces over discretely valued fields and prove results concerning maximal orders.

Let (K, v) be a discrete valued field with valuation ring R_v , maximal ideal m_v and residue field $k(v) = R_v/m_v$, $\text{char}(k(v)) \neq 2$. Let $(\widehat{R}_v, \widehat{m}_v)$ be the completion of (R_v, m_v) and $K_v = \text{Frac}(\widehat{R}_v)$. Let $\widehat{v}$ be the extension of v to K_v . We have $k(\widehat{v}) = \widehat{R}_v/\widehat{m}_v = k(v)$. Let D be a finite-dimensional division algebra over K with an involution σ such that $Z(D)^\sigma = K$. Suppose that $D \otimes_K K_v$ is a division algebra over K_v . By [CF67, ch. II, 10.1], $\widehat{v}$ extends to a valuation v' on $Z(D \otimes_K K_v)$ such that $v'(x) = \frac{1}{[Z(D \otimes_K K_v):K_v]} v(N_{Z(D \otimes_K K_v)/K_v}(x))$ for all $x \in Z(D \otimes_K K_v)^*$. By [Wad86], v' extends to a valuation w on $D \otimes_K K_v$ such that $w(x) = \frac{1}{\text{ind}(D \otimes_K K_v)} v'(\text{Nrd}_{D \otimes_K K_v/Z(D \otimes_K K_v)}(x))$ for all $x \in (D \otimes_K K_v)^*$. The restriction of w to D is a valuation on D and $w(x) = \frac{1}{\text{ind}(D)} v(\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x))$ for all $x \in D^*$. Since $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x) = \text{Nrd}_{D/K}(\sigma(x))$, we have $w(\sigma(x)) = w(x)$ for all $x \in D$. Since $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x) = \text{Nrd}_{D/K}(\sigma(x))$, we have $w(\sigma(x)) = w(x)$ for all $x \in D$. Let t_D be the parameter of (D, w) (see [Rei03, 13.2]). We may choose $\pi_D \in D^*$ such that $w(\pi_D) \equiv w(t_D) \pmod{2w(D^*)}$ and $\sigma(\pi_D) = \pm \pi_D$ (see [Lar99, 2.7]). Let $R_w = \{x \in D \mid w(x) \geq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_w = \{x \in D \mid w(x) > 0\}$. Let $D(w) = R_w/\mathfrak{m}_w$ be the residue division algebra (see [Rei03, 13.2]) of (D, w) over $k(v)$ with involution σ_w such that $\sigma_w(q_w(x)) = q_w(\sigma(x))$ for all $x \in R_w$, where $q_w(x) = x + \mathfrak{m}_w$.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $D \otimes_K K_v$ is a division algebra over K_v . There exists a unique maximal R_v -order Λ in D and the following four sets are identical.*

- (1) the maximal R_v -order Λ in D ;
- (2) the valuation ring $R_w = \{x \in D \mid w(x) \geq 0\}$;
- (3) $N = \{x \in D \mid N_{D/K}(x) \in R_v\}$;
- (4) the integral closure S of R_v in D .

Proof. Existence: By [Rei03, 10.4], there exists a maximal R_v -order Λ in D .

Uniqueness: If Λ and Λ' are two maximal R_v -orders in D , by [Rei03, 11.5] $\Lambda \otimes \widehat{R}_v$ and $\Lambda' \otimes \widehat{R}_v$ are two maximal $\widehat{R}_v$ -orders in $D \otimes K_v$. By [Rei03, 12.8], the maximal $\widehat{R}_v$ -order in $D \otimes K_v$ is unique. Then $\Lambda \otimes \widehat{R}_v = \Lambda' \otimes \widehat{R}_v$. Then by [Rei03, 5.2], $\Lambda = (\Lambda \otimes \widehat{R}_v) \cap D = (\Lambda' \otimes \widehat{R}_v) \cap D = \Lambda'$.

Equalities: Let Λ be the unique maximal R_v -order in D . By [Rei03, 12.7, 12.8], the following sets are equal

- the maximal $\widehat{R}_v$ -order $\widehat{\Lambda} = \Lambda \otimes \widehat{R}_v$ in $D \otimes K_v$;
- the valuation ring $\widehat{R}_w = \{x \in D \otimes K_v \mid w(x) \geq 0\}$;
- $\widehat{N} = \{x \in D \otimes K_v \mid N_{D \otimes K_v/K_v}(x) \in \widehat{R}_v\}$;
- the integral closure $\widehat{S}$ of $\widehat{R}_v$ in $D \otimes K_v$.

The proof of (1) equals (2): For $x \in D$, $w(x \otimes 1) = w(x)$, then $\widehat{R}_w \cap D = R_w$. Then $\Lambda = \widehat{\Lambda} \cap D = \widehat{R}_w \cap D = R_w$.

The proof of (1) equals (3): For $x \in D$, by [Bou06, § 17, no. 3, prop. 4, (30)], $\text{Nrd}_{(D \otimes K_v)/K_v}(x \otimes 1) = \text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x)$, then $\widehat{N} \cap D = N$. Then $\Lambda = \widehat{\Lambda} \cap D = \widehat{N} \cap D = N$.

The proof of (1) equals (4): By [Rei03, 8.6], $\Lambda \subset S$. Also, $S \subset \widehat{S} \cap D = \widehat{\Lambda} \cap D = \Lambda$. Therefore $\Lambda = S$. $\square$

The next lemma will be applied in Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose $D = (a, b)$ is a quaternion division algebra given by $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$, where $a, b \in K$. Suppose $D \otimes_K K_v$ is a division algebra over K_v . If $v(a) = 0$ and $v(b) \in \{0, 1\}$, then $\Lambda = R_v + R_v i + R_v j + R_v ij$ is the unique maximal R_v -order in D .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, Λ is the unique maximal order if and only if Λ is the integral closure of R_v in D . Since i and j are integral over R_v , every element of Λ is integral over R_v .

Let $x \in D$. Then

$$x = y(x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 ij)$$

for some $y \in K^*$ and $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R_v$ with $\min_{0 \leq l \leq 3} \{v(x_l)\} = 0$ (i.e. $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \neq \vec{0}$ in $k(v)^4$).

Suppose that x is integral over R_v . We show that $y \in R_v$. By taking the reduced norm, we have

$$\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x) = y^2(x_0^2 - x_1^2 a - x_2^2 b + x_3^2 ab).$$

Since x is integral over R_v , $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x) \in R_v$ and hence $v(\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x)) \geq 0$. Suppose that $y \notin R_v$. Then $v(y) < 0$ and

$$(2.9) \quad v(x_0^2 - x_1^2 a - x_2^2 b + x_3^2 ab) = v(\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(x)y^{-2}) \geq 2.$$

Case 1: D is unramified at v . Then $v(a) = v(b) = 0$. By going modulo the maximal ideal of R_v and using eq. (2.9), we see that $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \in k(v)^4$ is an isotropic vector for $\langle \overline{1}, -\overline{a}, -\overline{b}, \overline{ab} \rangle$. Since K_v is a complete discretely valued field, by a theorem of Springer, $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle$ is isotropic over K_v , which contradicts the fact that $D \otimes_K K_v$ is division. Hence $y \in R_v$.

Case 2: D is ramified at v . Then $v(a) = 0$ and $v(b) = 1$. Since $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \neq \vec{0}$, we have $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}) \neq \vec{0}$ or $(\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \neq \vec{0}$ in $k(v)^2$.

Suppose $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}) \neq \vec{0}$. Going modulo the maximal ideal of R_v and using eq. (2.9), we see that $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}) \in k(v)^2$ is an isotropic vector for $\langle \overline{1}, -\overline{a} \rangle$.

Suppose $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}) = \vec{0}$. Then $(\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \neq \vec{0}$. Since $v(x_0) = v(x_1) \geq 1$, $v(x_0^2 - x_1^2 a) \geq 2$. Then, by eq. (2.9), we have $v(x_2^2 b - x_3^2 ab) \geq 2$. Since $v(b) = 1$, $v(x_2^2 - x_3^2 a) \geq 1$. Once again going modulo the maximal ideal of R_v , we see that $(\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}) \in k(v)^2$ is an isotropic vector of $\langle \overline{1}, -\overline{a} \rangle$.

By a theorem of Springer, $\langle 1, -a \rangle$ is isotropic over K_v , which contradicts the fact that $D \otimes_K K_v$ is division. Hence $y \in R_v$.

In both cases, we have $y \in R_v$. Thus $x \in \Lambda$ and Λ is the unique maximal R_v -order in D . $\square$

Let (V, h) be an ε -hermitian space over (D, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Then there exists an orthogonal basis of V such that h has a diagonal form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$, $a_i \in D$, $\sigma(a_i) = \varepsilon a_i$. If $w(a_i) = 0$ for all i , then $q_w(h) = \langle q_w(a_1), \dots, q_w(a_m) \rangle \in \text{Herm}^\varepsilon(D(w), \sigma_w)$. Up to isometry, we may assume that any $h \in \text{Herm}^\varepsilon(D, \sigma)$ has diagonal entries with w -value either 0 or $w(t_D)$ [Lar99, 2.20].

Proposition 2.10 ([Lar06, 3.4, 3.6], [Lar99, 3.27, 3.29]). *Suppose $\sigma(\pi_D) = \varepsilon' \pi_D$ for $\varepsilon' \in \{1, -1\}$. There exists a unique decomposition $h_{K_v} \simeq h_1 \perp h_2 \pi_D$, where $h_1 \in \text{Herm}^\varepsilon(D \otimes_K K_v, \sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_v})$, $h_2 \in \text{Herm}^{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}(D \otimes_K K_v, \text{Int}(\pi_D) \circ (\sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_v}))$ and each diagonal entry of h_1 and h_2 has w -value 0. Furthermore, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) h is isotropic;
- (b) h_1 or h_2 is isotropic;
- (c) $q_w(h_1)$ or $q_w(h_2)$ is isotropic.

3. COMPLETE REGULAR LOCAL RING OF DIMENSION 2

We fix the following notation and assumption throughout this section.

- R is a complete regular noetherian local ring of dimension 2,
- K is the field of fractions of R ,
- $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, \delta)$ is the maximal ideal of R ,
- $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$, $\text{char } k \neq 2$,
- $L = K(\sqrt{\lambda})$, $\lambda \in R$ with $\lambda = w$, $w\pi$ or $w\delta$ for a unit $w \in R$,
- S is the integral closure of R in L .

By the assumption on λ and [PS14, 3.1, 3.2], S is a regular local ring of dimension 2 with maximal ideal (π', δ') , where

- if $\lambda = w$ is a unit in R , then $\pi' = \pi$ and $\delta' = \delta$;
- if $\lambda = w\pi$, then $\pi' = \sqrt{w\pi}$ and $\delta' = \delta$;
- if $\lambda = w\delta$, then $\pi' = \pi$ and $\delta' = \sqrt{w\delta}$.

Let D be a central division algebra over L which is unramified at all height one prime ideals of S except possibly at π' and δ' . Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a height one prime ideal of S . By [Mor89, th. 2], the valuation $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ extends to D if and only if $D \otimes_L L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a division algebra. Suppose $\deg(D) = d$ and K contains a primitive d -th root of unity, by [RS13, 2.4], $D \otimes_L L_{(\pi')}$ and $D \otimes_L L_{(\delta')}$ are division. Let $w_{\pi'}$ and $w_{\delta'}$ be the unique extensions of $v_{(\pi')}$ and $v_{(\delta')}$ to $D \otimes_L L_{(\pi')}$ and $D \otimes_L L_{(\delta')}$, respectively.

3.1. Decomposition of diagonal forms.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\deg(D) = d$, K contains a primitive d -th root of unity and D has an involution σ (of the first or the second kind) with $L^{\sigma} = K$. Suppose there exists a maximal S -order Λ in D with $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ and $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Lambda$ such that*

(1) $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\pi_D) = u_0 \pi'^{d/e_0}$, where $u_0 \in R^*$, $e_0 = [w_{\pi'}(D^*) : v_{\pi'}(L^*)]$ and e_0 is invertible in k ; $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\delta_D) = u_1 \delta'^{d/e_1}$, where $u_1 \in R^*$, $e_1 = [w_{\delta'}(D^*) : v_{\delta'}(L^*)]$ and e_1 is invertible in k .

(2) $\sigma(\pi_D) = \varepsilon_0 \pi_D$, $\sigma(\delta_D) = \varepsilon_1 \delta_D$ and $\pi_D \delta_D = \varepsilon_2 \delta_D \pi_D$, $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{1, -1\}$.

Let $c \in \Lambda$ such that $\sigma(c) = \pm c$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(c) = u_c \pi'^{dm/e_0} \delta'^{dn/e_1}$ for $u_c \in S^*$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\langle c \rangle \simeq \langle \theta \pi_D^{m'} \delta_D^{n'} \rangle$$

for $\theta \in \Lambda^*$ and $m', n' \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Since $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(c) = u_c \pi'^{dm/e_0} \delta'^{dn/e_1}$, it follows that $w_{\pi'}(c) = m w_{\pi'}(\pi_D')$ and $w_{\delta'}(c) = n w_{\delta'}(\delta_D')$. Write $m = 2r + m'$, $n = 2s + n'$ with $m', n' \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $x = \pi_D^r \delta_D^s$. Then $\sigma(x) = \varepsilon_0^r \varepsilon_1^s (\varepsilon_2)^{rs} x = \varepsilon_c x$, where $\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_0^r \varepsilon_1^s (\varepsilon_2)^{rs} \in \{1, -1\}$. By the choice of π_D and δ_D , we have $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(x) = u_0^r u_1^s \pi'^{dr/e_0} \delta'^{ds/e_1}$.

Let $\theta = \varepsilon_c x^{-1} c x^{-1} (\pi_D^{m'} \delta_D^{n'})^{-1}$. Then $c = \sigma(x) (\theta \pi_D^{m'} \delta_D^{n'}) x$. In particular we have

$$\langle c \rangle \simeq \langle \theta \pi_D^{m'} \delta_D^{n'} \rangle.$$

Thus it is enough to show that $\theta \in \Lambda^*$.

Since $\Lambda = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs through all height one prime ideals of S , we have $\Lambda^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. It suffices to show that $\theta \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ for all height one prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of S .

We have that $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\theta) = \text{Nrd}_{D/L}(x)^{-2} \text{Nrd}_{D/L}(c) \text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\pi_D^{m'} \delta_D^{n'})^{-1} = u_c$ is a unit in S .

Case 1: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \neq (\pi'), (\delta')$. Since $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Lambda$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\pi_D), \text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\delta_D)$ are units at $\mathfrak{p}$, by [Sal99, 4.3(c)], π_D and δ_D are units in $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ and $c \in \Lambda$, we have $\theta \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $\text{Nrd}_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\theta) = \text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\theta) \in S^*$, by [Sal99, 4.3(c)], $\theta \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$.

Case 2: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi')$. Since $w_{\pi'}(\theta) = 0$, by Lemma 2.7, $\theta \in \Lambda_{(\pi')}^*$.

Case 3: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = (\delta')$. The proof of $\theta \in \Lambda_{(\delta')}^*$ is similar to Case 2. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $D, \sigma, \Lambda, \pi_D$ and δ_D be as in Lemma 3.1. Let h be an ε -hermitian space over (D, σ) . Suppose that $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ with $a_i \in \Lambda$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(a_i)$ is a unit of S times a power of π' and a power of δ' for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

$$h \simeq \langle u_1, \dots, u_{n_0} \rangle \perp \langle v_1, \dots, v_{n_1} \rangle \pi_D \perp \langle w_1, \dots, w_{n_2} \rangle \delta_D \perp \langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n_3} \rangle \pi_D \delta_D$$

with $u_i, v_i, \theta_i \in \Lambda^*$ and $n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Under all hypotheses of Corollary 3.2, if $h \otimes_K 1_{K_{\pi}}$ is isotropic over $(D \otimes_K K_{\pi}, \sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_{\pi}})$ or $h \otimes_K 1_{K_{\delta}}$ is isotropic over $(D \otimes_K K_{\delta}, \sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_{\delta}})$, then h is isotropic over (D, σ) .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, we have

$$h \simeq h_{00} \perp h_{10} \delta_D \perp h_{01} \pi_D \perp h_{11} \delta_D \pi_D$$

where diagonal entries of h_{ij} are in Λ^* . Applying Larmour's result Proposition 2.10 to $h_{K_{\pi'}}$, we have $q_{\pi'}(h_{00} \perp h_{10} \delta_D)$ or $q_{\pi'}(h_{01} \perp h_{11} \delta_D)$ is isotropic over $D(\pi')$. Applying Proposition 2.10 again, we obtain that one of $q_{\delta'}(q_{\pi'}(h_{ij}))$ is isotropic over $D(\pi')(\delta')$. Since the diagonal entries of h_{ij} are in Λ^* , $(D, \text{Int}(\delta_D^i \pi_D^j) \circ \sigma, h_{ij})$ is defined over the maximal R -order Λ in D . By [Knu91, ch. II, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2], one of h_{ij} is isotropic over $(\Lambda, \text{Int}(\delta_D^i \pi_D^j) \circ \sigma|_{\Lambda})$. Then one of $h_{ij} \delta_D^i \pi_D^j$ is isotropic over $(\Lambda, \sigma|_{\Lambda})$. Then h is isotropic over $(\Lambda, \sigma|_{\Lambda})$ and hence over (D, σ) . $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let R, K, S and L be as before and let ι be an automorphism of L such that $\iota|_K = \text{Id}_K$. Let $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be an ε -hermitian space over (L, ι) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Suppose that each divisor of a_i is supported only along π' and δ' . If $h \otimes_K 1_{K_{\pi}}$ is isotropic over $(L \otimes_K K_{\pi}, \iota \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_{\pi}})$ or $h \otimes_K 1_{K_{\delta}}$ is isotropic over $(L \otimes_K K_{\delta}, \iota \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_{\delta}})$, then h is isotropic over $(L/K, \iota)$.*

Proof. Let $D = L, \sigma = \iota, \Lambda = S, \pi_D = \pi'$ and $\delta_D = \delta'$ in Corollary 3.3. $\square$

3.2. Parameters for quaternion algebras. Suppose D is a quaternion division algebra. The aim of the rest of the section is to show that there exists a maximal order Λ, π_D and δ_D as in Lemma 3.1.

We begin with Saltman's classification.

Proposition 3.5. [Sal97, Sal98, 1.2], [Sal07, 2.1] *Suppose $\alpha \in {}_2\text{Br}(K)$. If α is unramified at all height one prime ideals of R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , then α is of the form $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$, where $\alpha' \in \text{Br}(R)$ and α is described as follows:*

- (i) *If α is unramified at all height one prime ideals of R , then $\alpha = \alpha'$;*
- (ii) *If α is ramified only at (π) , then $\alpha = \alpha' + (u, \pi)$ for some $u \in R^* \setminus R^{*2}$;*
- (iii) *If α is ramified only at (π) and (δ) , then there exists $u, v \in R^*$ such that*

- (a) $\alpha = \alpha' + (u\pi, v\delta)$; or
- (b) $\alpha = \alpha' + (u, \pi) + (v, \delta)$, where u, v and uv are not squares and u, v are in different square classes; or
- (c) $\alpha = \alpha' + (u, \pi\delta)$, where u is not a square.

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over K which is unramified at all height one prime ideals of R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Then D is isomorphic to one of the following over K .*

- (1) (u, v) , $u, v \in R^*$;
- (2) $(u, v\pi)$, $u \in R^*$ is not a square;
- (3) $(u, v\delta)$, $u \in R^*$ is not a square;
- (4) $(u\pi, v\delta)$, $u, v \in R^*$;
- (5) $(u, v\pi\delta)$, $u \in R^*$ is not a square and $v \in R^*$.

Proof. (1) Suppose D is unramified on R . By [AG60a, 7.4], there exists an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{D}$ over R with $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R K \simeq D$. Since D is a quaternion algebra over K , $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R k$ is a quaternion algebra over k . Hence $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R k = (a, b)$ for $a, b \in k^*$. Let $u, v \in R^*$ be lifts of $a, b \in k$. Since R is complete, by [AG60a, 6.5], $D \simeq (u, v)$.

(2) Let α be the class of D in ${}_2\text{Br}(K)$. Suppose that D is ramified on R only at (π) . Then, by Proposition 3.5, $\alpha = \alpha' + (u, \pi)$ for $\alpha' \in \text{Br}(R)$ and $u \in R^*$. As in the proof of [RS13, 2.4], we have $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D \otimes K_\pi) = 2(\text{ind}(\alpha' \otimes K(\sqrt{u})))$. Since D is a quaternion algebra, $\alpha \otimes K(\sqrt{u})$ is split. Then $\alpha' = (u, v)$ for some $v \in K^*$. Since α' is unramified on R , we may assume that $v \in R^*$. Thus $\alpha = \alpha' \otimes (u, \pi) = (u, v) \otimes (u, \pi) = (u, v\pi)$ in $\text{Br}(K)$. Then $D = (u, v\pi)$.

(3) Similarly, if D is ramified only at δ , then $D = (u, v\delta)$.

(4) and (5). Suppose that D only ramifies at π and δ . Then, by Proposition 3.5, we have $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ with $\alpha' \in \text{Br}(R)$ and $\alpha'' = (u\pi, v\delta)$ or $(u, \pi) + (v, \delta)$ or $(u, \pi\delta)$ with $u, v \in R^*$.

(i) Suppose that $\alpha'' = (u, \pi\delta)$. Then as above, it follows that $D = (u, v\pi\delta)$.

(ii) Suppose that $\alpha'' = (u\pi, v\delta)$. Then, as above, we have that $\alpha'' \otimes K(\sqrt{\delta})$ is trivial. Since α' is unramified on R , as in the proof of [RS13, 2.4], α' is trivial. Thus $\alpha = (u\pi, v\delta)$.

(iii) Suppose that $\alpha'' = (u, \pi) + (v, \delta)$. As in the proof of [RS13, 2.4], we have $\text{ind}(\alpha) = \text{ind}(\alpha' \otimes K(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v})) \cdot [K(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : K]$. Since $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 2$, we have $[K(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : K] \leq 2$. Since u and v are non-squares in K , u and v are in the same square class, a contradiction to Proposition 3.5(iii)(b). Thus this case does not happen. $\square$

Next, we consider maximal-orders of certain quaternion algebras.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $D = (a, b)$ be a quaternion division algebra over K given by i, j such that $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$ and $ij = -ji$. Let Λ be the R -algebra generated by $\{1, i, j, ij\}$. If D has one of the forms of Lemma 3.6, then Λ is a maximal R -order in D .*

Proof. By definition, Λ is an order in D . By [AG60b, 1.5], an order of a noetherian integrally closed domain is maximal if and only if it is reflexive and its localization at all height one prime ideals are maximal orders. Since R is a regular local ring, it is a noetherian integrally closed domain. Since Λ is a finitely generated free R -module, it is reflexive. We show that $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order for all height one prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of R .

Case 1: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \neq (\pi)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq (\delta)$. Then $a, b \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ and hence $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an Azumaya algebra over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in D .

Case 2: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$. Then, by [RS13, 2.4], $D \otimes_K K_{\pi}$ is a quaternion division algebra over K_{π} . By Lemma 2.8, $\Lambda_{(\pi)}$ is a maximal $R_{(\pi)}$ -order in D .

Case 3: Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = (\delta)$. Similar to case 2, we can show that $\Lambda_{(\delta)}$ is a maximal $R_{(\delta)}$ -order in D . $\square$

Next, we construct parameters for certain quaternions with involutions of the first kind.

Lemma 3.8. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over K having one of the forms of Lemma 3.6 except (5) and let σ be the canonical involution on D . Let Λ be the maximal order as in Lemma 3.7.*

Then there exists $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Lambda$ such that

- (1) $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(\pi_D) = u_0 \pi^{2/e_0}$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(\delta_D) = u_1 \delta^{2/e_1}$, where $u_0, u_1 \in R^*$, $e_0 = [w_{\pi}(D^*) : v_{\pi}(K^*)]$, $e_1 = [w_{\delta}(D^*) : v_{\delta}(K^*)]$ and $e_0, e_1 \in \{1, 2\}$;
- (2) $\sigma(\pi_D) = \pm \pi_D$, $\sigma(\delta_D) = \pm \delta_D$, $\sigma(\pi_D \delta_D) = \pm \pi_D \delta_D$ and $\pi_D \delta_D = \pm \delta_D \pi_D$.

Proof. We discuss every case of Lemma 3.6 except (5). In the following, u, v are units and we assume them nonsquare if necessary (to make D a division algebra). We assume that for a quaternion algebra (a, b) , $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$. If $D = (u, v)$, take $\pi_D = \pi$ and $\delta_D = \delta$; otherwise take π_D and δ_D as follows.

D	π_D	δ_D	$\text{Nrd}(\pi_D)$	$\text{Nrd}(\delta_D)$	$\sigma(\pi_D)$	$\sigma(\delta_D)$	$\sigma(\pi_D \delta_D)$
$(u, v\pi)$	j	δ	$-v\pi$	δ^2	$-\pi_D$	δ_D	$-\pi_D \delta_D$
$(u, v\delta)$	π	j	π^2	$-v\delta$	π_D	$-\delta_D$	$-\pi_D \delta_D$
$(u\pi, v\delta)$	i	j	$-u\pi$	$-v\delta$	$-\pi_D$	$-\delta_D$	$-\pi_D \delta_D$

Then π_D and δ_D have required properties. $\square$

Next, we construct parameters for certain quaternions with involutions of the second kind. Suppose that L/K is a degree 2 extension and D/L a quaternion algebra with an involution σ of second kind. Then, by a theorem of Albert (see [KMRT98, 2.22]), there exists a quaternion algebra D_0 over K such that $D \simeq D_0 \otimes_K L$ and the involution σ maps to the involution $\sigma \otimes \iota$ where σ_0 is the canonical involution of D_0 and ι is the non-trivial automorphism of L/K .

Lemma 3.9. *Let $L = K(\sqrt{\lambda})$, S and (π', δ') as before. Let D_0 be a quaternion division algebra over K which is unramified at all height one prime ideals of R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . If $D_0 = (u, v\pi\delta)$, we suppose that λ is not a unit in R . Let $D = D_0 \otimes_K L$. Let σ_0 the canonical involution of D_0 , ι be the non-trivial automorphism of L/K and $\sigma = \sigma_0 \otimes_K \iota$. If D is division, then there exist a maximal S -order Λ in D which is invariant under σ and $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Lambda$ such that*

- (1) $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\pi_D) = u_0 \pi'^{2/e_0}$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(\delta_D) = u_1 \delta'^{2/e_1}$, where $u_0, u_1 \in S^*$, $e_0 = [w_{\pi'}(D^*) : v_{\pi'}(L^*)]$, $e_1 = [w_{\delta'}(D^*) : v_{\delta'}(L^*)]$ and $e_0, e_1 \in \{1, 2\}$;
- (2) $\sigma(\pi_D) = \pm \pi_D$, $\sigma(\delta_D) = \pm \delta_D$, $\sigma(\pi_D \delta_D) = \pm \pi_D \delta_D$ and $\pi_D \delta_D = \pm \delta_D \pi_D$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, $D_0 = (u, v)$, $(u, v\pi)$, $(u, v\delta)$, $(u\pi, v\delta)$ or $(u, v\pi\delta)$ for some $u, v \in R^*$. If $D_0 = (a, b)$, then let $i_0, j_0 \in D_0$ with $i_0^2 = a$, $j_0^2 = b$ and $i_0 j_0 = -j_0 i_0$.

There are 3 possible shapes for λ , i.e. $w, w\pi, w\delta$ with w a unit. By the assumption that if $\lambda = w$, then D_0 is not of the form $(u, v\pi\delta)$. Since there are 5 possible shapes of D_0 , we have $3 * 5 - 1 = 14$ possible combinations. In each of the cases, choose i and j as in the following two tables.

λ	w	w	w	w	$w\pi$	$w\pi$	$w\delta$	$w\delta$
D_0	(u, v)	$(u, v\pi)$	$(u, v\delta)$	$(u\pi, v\delta)$	(u, v)	$(u, v\delta)$	(u, v)	$(u, v\pi)$
D	(u, v)	$(u, v\pi')$	$(u, v\delta')$	$(u\pi', v\delta')$	(u, v)	$(u, v\delta')$	(u, v)	$(u, v\pi')$
i	$i_0 \otimes 1$							
j	$j_0 \otimes 1$							

λ	$w\pi$	$w\pi$	$w\pi$	$w\delta$	$w\delta$	$w\delta$
D_0	$(u, v\pi)$	$(u\pi, v\delta)$	$(u, v\pi\delta)$	$(u, v\delta)$	$(u\pi, v\delta)$	$(u, v\pi\delta)$
D	(u, vw)	$(uw, v\delta')$	$(u, vw\delta')$	(u, vw)	$(u\pi', vw)$	$(u, vw\pi')$
i	$i_0 \otimes 1$	$\frac{1}{\pi}(i_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$	$i_0 \otimes 1$	$i_0 \otimes 1$	$i_0 \otimes 1$	$i_0 \otimes 1$
j	$\frac{1}{\pi}(j_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$	$j_0 \otimes 1$	$\frac{1}{\pi}(j_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$	$\frac{1}{\delta}(j_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$	$\frac{1}{\delta}(j_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$	$\frac{1}{\delta}(j_0 \otimes \sqrt{\lambda})$

Then it can be checked that π' and δ' are the only primes in S which might divide $i^2, j^2 \in L$. Let $\Lambda = S + Si + Sj + Sij$. Then, by Lemma 3.7, Λ is a maximal S -order of D . By the choice of i and j we have $\sigma(i) = \pm i$ and $\sigma(j) = \pm j$. Since $\sigma(S) = S$, $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$.

Let $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Lambda$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Then Λ , π_D and δ_D satisfy required properties (1) and (2). $\square$

3.3. Local results.

Corollary 3.10. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over K with σ the canonical involution and h an ε -hermitian space over (D, σ) . Suppose that D is unramified at all height one prime ideals of R except possibly at (π) , (δ) and D is not of the shape of Lemma 3.6(5). Let Λ be the maximal order as in Lemma 3.8. Suppose h has a diagonal form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ such that $a_i \in \Lambda$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(a_i)$ is a unit of R times a power of π and a power of δ . If $h \otimes_K 1_{K_\pi}$ is isotropic over $(D \otimes_K K_\pi, \sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_\pi})$ or $h \otimes_K 1_{K_\delta}$ is isotropic over $(D \otimes_K K_\delta, \sigma \otimes_K \text{Id}_{K_\delta})$, then h is isotropic over (D, σ) .*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.8 and Corollary 3.3. $\square$

Corollary 3.11. *Let $L = K(\sqrt{\lambda})$, $\lambda = w, w\pi$ or $w\delta$ for $w \in R^*$. Let S be the integral closure of R in L and the maximal ideal $m' = (\pi', \delta')$ of S as above. Let D_0 be a quaternion division algebra over K having one of the forms of Lemma 3.6 and σ_0 the canonical involution on D_0 . When $D_0 = (u, v\pi\delta)$, we suppose that λ is not a unit in R . Let ι be the non-trivial automorphism of L/K . Let $D = D_0 \otimes_K L$ and $\sigma = \sigma_0 \otimes_K \iota$. Suppose that D is division. Let Λ be the maximal order as in Lemma 3.9. Let h be an ε -hermitian space over (D, σ) . Suppose h has a diagonal form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ such that $a_i \in \Lambda$ and $\text{Nrd}_{D/L}(a_i)$ is a unit of S times a power of π' and a power of δ' . If $h \otimes_K 1_{K_\pi}$ is isotropic over K_π or $h \otimes_K 1_{K_\delta}$ is isotropic over K_δ , then h is isotropic over K .*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.9 and Corollary 3.3. $\square$

The next corollary is for σ of the first kind.

Corollary 3.12. *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.10, let X be a projective homogeneous space under $G = \text{SU}(D, \sigma, h)$ over K . If $X(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. First we assume that X is of the shape of the 2nd, 3rd, 4th or first part of the 5th case of eq. (2.2).

By [RS13, 2.4], $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D \otimes_K K_\pi) = \text{ind}(D \otimes_K K_\delta)$. Then $\text{ind}(D \otimes_K K_\pi) | g$ iff $\text{ind}(D \otimes_K K_\delta) | g$ iff $\text{ind}(D) | g$, where $g = \gcd\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$. Let $t = n_r$. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that if $X_t(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X_t(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $X_t(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$. Then h_{K_π} has a totally isotropic subspace of reduced dimension t , where t is even. Then h_{K_π} is isotropic over D . So, by Corollary 3.10, $h : V \times V \rightarrow D$ is isotropic over D . Let $x \in V$, $x \neq 0$ be an isotropic vector of h . Let i_W denote the Witt index. Then $2 \leq \text{rdim}_D(xD) \leq t \leq 2i_W(h_{K_\pi})$, where $\text{rdim}_D(xD)$ is even.

We induct on t . If $t = 2$, then $\text{rdim}_D(xD) = 2$, we have $xD \in X_t(K)$ and hence $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Now we suppose $t > 2$. If $\text{rdim}_D(xD) = t$, then $xD \in X_t(K)$ and hence $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$. If $\text{rdim}_D(xD) < t$, by [Knu91, ch.1, 3.7.4], there exists a hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H} \subset (V, h)$ such that $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $h = h' \perp \mathbb{H}$. Then by [KMRT98, p.73], $2i_W(h'_{K_\pi}) = 2i_W(h_{K_\pi}) - 2 \geq 2i_W(h_{K_\pi}) - \text{rdim}_D(xD) \geq t - \text{rdim}_D(xD) > 0$. Write X'_t for the corresponding projective homogeneous variety under $\text{SU}(D, \sigma, h')$ over K . Then $X'_{t-\text{rdim}_D(xD)}(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$. Since $t - \text{rdim}_D(xD) < t$, by induction, we have $X'_{t-\text{rdim}_D(xD)}(K) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $N \in X'_{t-\text{rdim}_D(xD)}(K)$. Then $N \oplus xD \in X_t(K)$. Hence $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore $X_t(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ implies $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, $X_t(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$ implies $X_t(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Next we assume that X is of the shape of the second part of the 5th case of eq. (2.2), now $t = n = n_r$. We need to prove the following

Subcase (+): If $X_n^+(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X_n^+(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X_n^+(K) \neq \emptyset$;

Subcase (-): If $X_n^-(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X_n^-(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X_n^-(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose $X_n^+(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$. Then h_{K_π} is hyperbolic. By Corollary 3.10 with Witt decomposition, Witt cancellation and induction, h is hyperbolic. Then $X_n(K) = X_n^+(K) \sqcup X_n^-(K) \neq \emptyset$. If $X_n^+(K) \neq \emptyset$ we are done. If $X_n^-(K) \neq \emptyset$, then $X_n^-(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$. Then both $X_n^+(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ and $X_n^-(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.6, we have D_{K_π} is split. By [RS13, 2.4], D is split over K , a contradiction to our assumption that D is division. Hence, $X_n^+(K) \neq \emptyset$ and $X_n^-(K) = \emptyset$.

The proof for the subcase (-) is similar. $\square$

The next corollary is for σ of the second kind.

Corollary 3.13. *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.11, let X be a projective homogeneous space under $G = \text{U}(D, \sigma, h)$ over K (see the first case of eq. (2.2)). If $X(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the first half of Corollary 3.12 (for the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and the first part of the 5th cases of eq. (2.2)), using Corollary 3.11. $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.4, let X be a projective homogeneous space under $G = \text{U}(L, \iota, h)$ over K . If $X(K_\pi) \neq \emptyset$ or $X(K_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the first half of Corollary 3.12, using Corollary 3.4. $\square$

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The next lemma deals with the last case of Lemma 3.6 to make it possible to apply Lemma 3.8 in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a regular local ring with field of fractions K , maximal ideal (π, δ) and residue field k with $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Suppose $\alpha = (u, v\pi\delta) \in {}_2\text{Br}(K)$. Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{Proj}(R[x, y]/(\pi x - \delta y)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be the blow-up of $\text{Spec}(R)$ at its maximal ideal. For every closed point Q of $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathfrak{m}_Q$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, Q}$. Then $\alpha = (u, t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, Q}$ such that t is either a unit or a regular parameter (i.e. $t \notin \mathfrak{m}_Q \setminus \mathfrak{m}_Q^2$).*

Proof. Let Q_1 be the closed point given by the homogeneous ideal (π, δ, x) and Q_2 the closed point given by the homogeneous ideal (π, δ, y) . Let $t = \frac{x}{y} \in K$. Then $\delta = t\pi$ in K . Hence at Q_1 , t is a regular parameter and $\alpha = (u, v\pi\delta) = (u, vt\pi^2) = (u, t)$. Similarly, at Q_2 , $1/t$ is a regular parameter and $\alpha = (u, 1/t)$. Let Q be a closed point of $\mathcal{X}$ that is neither Q_1 nor Q_2 . Then at Q , t is a unit and $\alpha = (u, t)$. $\square$

The next lemma deals with λ from Lemma 3.9 to make it possible to apply Lemma 3.8 in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a regular local ring of dimension 2 with field of fractions K and residue field k with $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let $\lambda \in K$ and $\alpha \in {}_2\text{Br}(K)$. Then there exists a sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ such that for every closed point P of $\mathcal{X}$, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_P$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$ is given by $\mathfrak{m}_P = (\pi, \delta)$, $\lambda = w, w\pi$ or $w\delta$, up to squares for $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}^*$ and $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ with α' and α'' as in Proposition 3.5. Furthermore, if $\alpha'' = (u, v\pi\delta)$ for units $u, v \in R^*$, then $\lambda \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}^*$, up to squares.*

Proof. First we show that by choosing a finite sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, we may assume that for every closed point P of $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathfrak{m}_P = (\pi, \delta)$, $\lambda = w, w\pi, w\delta$ or $w\pi\delta$, up to squares, for $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}^*$ and α is unramified at P except possibly at π and δ . In fact, let P be a closed point of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_P = (\pi, \delta)$ and $\lambda = w\pi\delta$ for some unit w of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$. Let $\mathcal{X}'$ be the blowup of $\mathcal{X}$ at P and Q a closed point on the exceptional curve. By Lemma 4.1, we can show that $\lambda = wt$ or w' , up to squares, for units w and w' and t is either a unit or regular parameter. Since there are only finitely many closed points on $\mathcal{X}$ with $\lambda = w\pi\delta$, we have a finite sequence of blowups $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that for every closed point P' of $\mathcal{X}'$, $\mathfrak{m}_{P'}$, λ and α has the desired property at P' . In particular, $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ with α' and α'' as in Proposition 3.5.

Suppose there exists a closed point P of $\mathcal{X}'$ such that $\alpha'' = (u, v\pi\delta)$ and $\lambda = w$, for $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}', P}^*$. Let $\mathcal{X}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ be the blow-up at P as in Lemma 4.1. Then for every closed point Q of the exceptional curve of $\mathcal{X}''$, by Lemma 4.1, we have $\alpha'' = (u, v)$ or (u, t) for a regular parameter t at Q and $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'', Q}^*$. Since $\lambda = w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}', P}^*$, it remains a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'', Q}^*$. Since there are only finitely many closed points with $\alpha'' = (u, v\pi\delta)$, we have the required sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X}'' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a regular local ring of dimension 2 with field of fractions K and residue field k . Suppose $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let $\widehat{R}$ be the completion of R at its maximal ideal and $\widehat{K}$ the field of fractions of $\widehat{R}$. Let $\mu \in \widehat{K}^*$. Then there is a finite sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ such that for every closed point Q of*

$\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec}(\widehat{R})$, the maximal ideal at Q is given by (π, δ) with the support of μ at Q is at most (π) and (δ) . Also, either (π) or (δ) corresponds to an exceptional curve in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Since $\widehat{R}$ is a regular local ring of dimension 2, there exists a finite sequence of blow-ups $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \widehat{R}$ at the closed point of $\text{Spec}(\widehat{R})$ and closed points on the exceptional curves such that the support of μ on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is a union of regular curves with normal crossings [Abh69] or [Lip75]. Since any exceptional curve is the projective line over a finite extension of k , there exists a finite sequence of blow-ups $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(R)} \text{Spec } \widehat{R} = \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ (see [HHK15, prop. 3.6]).

Let Q be a closed point of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Then, by the choice of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, the maximal ideal at Q is given by (π, δ) and the support of μ at Q is at most (π) and (δ) . Suppose that neither (π) nor (δ) is an exceptional curve. Then blow-up Q . The resulting sequence of blow-ups has required properties. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *Let K be a complete discrete valued field with residue field k , $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let F be the function field of a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve over K . Let L/F be an extension of degree at most 2 and A a finite-dimensional simple F -algebra with center L . Let σ be an involution on A such that $F = L^\sigma$. Let $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ be an ε -hermitian space over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Let*

$$G(A, \sigma, h) = \begin{cases} \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the first kind;} \\ \text{U}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the second kind.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that for any regular proper model $\mathcal{X}$ of F and for any closed point P of $\mathcal{X}$ $\text{ind}(A \otimes F_P) \leq 2$. Then the Hasse principle holds for any projective homogeneous space under $G(A, \sigma, h)$.

Proof. Let X be a projective homogeneous space under $G(A, \sigma, h)$. Suppose that $X(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all divisorial discrete valuations of F . We use [HHK09, 3.7] to show that $X(F) \neq \emptyset$. Since σ is arbitrary, we assume that $\varepsilon = 1$. We use patching notations from section 2.3.

Write $L = F(\sqrt{\lambda})$ for $\lambda \in F^*$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular proper model of F such that the union of the support of λ and the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_1$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let η be a codimension zero point of $\mathcal{X}_1$. Since $X(F_\eta) \neq \emptyset$, by [HHK11, 5.8], there exists a non-empty open subset U_η of the closure of η such that $X(F_{U_\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ and U_η does not meet other regular curves in the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the finite set of closed points of $\mathcal{X}_1$ which are not on U_η for any codimension zero point η of $\mathcal{X}_1$. For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let D_P be the central division algebra over $L_P = L \otimes F_P$ which is Brauer equivalent to $A \otimes F_P$. By Morita equivalence [Knu91, ch. I, 9.3.5], there exists an involution σ_P on D_P and h corresponds to a hermitian form h_P over (D_P, σ) .

Since for any closed point P of $\mathcal{X}$, $\deg(D_P) \leq 2$, either $D_P = L_P$ or D_P is a quaternion division algebra. If $[L : F] = 2$, since $L^\sigma = F$, $L_P^{\sigma_P} = F_P$ and by a theorem of Albert [KMRT98, 2.22], there exists a central division algebra $(D_P)_0$ over F_P such that $\deg((D_P)_0) \leq 2$ and $D_P \simeq (D_P)_0 \otimes L_P$. If $\deg((D_P)_0) = 2$, then write $(D_P)_0 = (a_P, b_P)$ for some $a_P, b_P \in F_P$.

By Lemma 4.3, there exists a finite sequence of blow-ups $\phi : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$, the support of a_P and b_P at Q have normal

crossings. In particular the ramification divisor of $(D_P)_0$ at Q has normal crossings. Let η be an exceptional curve in $\mathcal{X}'$. Since $X(F_\eta) \neq \emptyset$, as above there exists a non-empty open set U_η of the closure of η such that $X(F_{U_\eta}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{X}'$ be in the closure of η . Suppose $D \otimes F_Q$ is non-split. Since $\phi(Q) = P$ and $D \otimes F_Q$ is Brauer equivalent to $D_P \otimes F_Q = (D_P)_0 \otimes L \otimes F_Q$. In particular the support of the ramification divisor of $(D_P)_0 \otimes F_Q$ has normal crossings. Thus, replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by $\mathcal{X}'$, we assume that if $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then $D_P = (D_P)_0 \otimes L_P$ and the ramification divisor of $(D_P)_0$ has normal crossings at P . Further, replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by a finite sequence of blow-ups at the points of $\mathcal{P}$, using Lemma 4.2, we assume that for $P \in \mathcal{P}$, D_P and λ are as in Lemma 4.2.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. If $D_P = L_P$, let Λ_P be the integral closure of $\widehat{R_P}$ in L_P . If $D_P \neq L_P$, then $D_P = (D_P)_0 \otimes L_P$ with $(D_P)_0$ a quaternion algebra and $(D_P)_0$, λ are as in Lemma 4.2. Let Λ_P be the order as in Lemma 3.8 or Lemma 3.9. Since D_P is division, $h_P = \langle a_1^P, \dots, a_m^P \rangle$ with $a_i^P \in \Lambda_P$ and $\sigma_P(a_i^P) = a_i^P$. Let $f_i^P = \text{Nrd}_{D_P}(a_i^P) \in F_P \subset L_P$. Since $\sigma_P(a_i^P) = a_i^P$, $f_i^P \in F_P$. Once again, using Lemma 4.3, replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by a finite sequence of blow-ups of $\mathcal{X}$ at the points of $\mathcal{P}$, we assume that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the maximal ideal at P is given by (π_P, δ_P) , the support of f_i^P is at most π_P and δ_P and at least one of π_P and δ_P is an exceptional curve.

Let X^P be the projective homogeneous space under $G(D_P, \sigma_P, h_P)$. The maximal ideal at P is given by (π_P, δ_P) and either π_P or δ_P , say π_P , gives an exceptional curve. Since the valuation given by an exceptional curve is a divisorial discrete valuation, $X(F_{\pi_P}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, by Lemma 2.4 or Lemma 2.5, $X^P((F_P)_{\pi_P}) \neq \emptyset$. If $D_P = L_P$, then, by [CTPS12, 3.1] or Corollary 3.14, $X(F_P) \neq \emptyset$. If D_P is a quaternion algebra, then, by Corollary 3.12 or Corollary 3.13, $X^P(F_P) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.4 or Lemma 2.5 again, $X(F_P) \neq \emptyset$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Therefore, by [HHK09, 3.7], $X(F) \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Now we restate and prove Theorem 1.2 as Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.5. *Let K be a complete discrete valued field with residue field k , $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let F be the function field of a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve over K . Let Ω be the set of all rank one discrete valuations on F . For each $v \in \Omega$, let F_v be the completion of F at v . Let A be a finite-dimensional simple F -algebra with an involution σ such that $F = Z(A)^\sigma$. Suppose that at least one of the following is satisfied.*

- (1) $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$;
- (2) $\text{per}(A) = 2$, $|l^*/l^{*2}| \leq 2$ and ${}_2\text{Br}(l) = 0$ for all finite extensions l/k .

Let $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ an ε -hermitian space over (A, σ) . Let X be a projective homogeneous space under

$$G = \begin{cases} \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the first kind;} \\ \text{U}(A, \sigma, h) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is of the second kind.} \end{cases}$$

If $X(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in \Omega$, then $X(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $L = Z(A)$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular proper model of L with ramification locus of A a union of regular curves with normal crossings and P a closed point of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\widehat{R_P}$ and F_P be as in section 2.3 and $L_P = L \otimes F_P$. Let k_P be the residue field of $\widehat{R_P}$.

- (1) If $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$, we have $\text{ind}(A \otimes L_P) \leq 2$ for all closed points P of $\mathcal{X}$.

(2) Suppose $\text{per}(A) = 2$, $|l^*/l^{*2}| \leq 2$ and ${}_2\text{Br}(l) = 0$ for all finite extensions l/k . Then k_P^* has at most two square classes and ${}_2\text{Br}(k_P) = 0$. Then by [AG60a, 6.2], ${}_2\text{Br}(\widehat{R_P}) = 0$. Then, by Proposition 3.5, $\text{ind}(A \otimes L_P) \leq 2$.

Hence the Hasse principle is a consequence of Theorem 4.4. $\square$

Finally, we restate and prove Corollary 1.4 as Corollary 4.6.

Corollary 4.6. *Let p be an odd prime. Let K be a p -adic field. Let F a function field in one variable over K . Let Ω be the set of all discrete valuations on F . Let G be a connected linear algebraic group such that there exists an isogeny from a product of almost simple groups of one of the following types to the semisimple group $G/\text{Rad}(G)$.*

$${}^1A_n, \quad {}^2A_n^*, \quad B_n, \quad C_n, \quad D_n \quad (D_4 \text{ nontrialitarian}),$$

where ${}^2A_n^*$ means that the almost simple factor is isogenous to a unitary group $U(A, \sigma, h)$ such that σ is of the second kind and $\text{per}(A) = 2$. Let X be a projective homogeneous space under G . Then

$$\prod_{v \in \Omega} X(F_v) \neq \emptyset \implies X(F) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let G^{ss} be the semisimple group $G/\text{Rad}(G)$. By [CTGP04, 5.7], X is a projective homogeneous space under G^{ss} . By [Bor91, 14.10(2)], there exists an isogeny $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r \rightarrow G^{ss}$ where G_i are almost simple groups. Since $\text{char } F = 0$, all isogenies of algebraic groups over F are central. By [BT72, 2.20, (i)], central surjective morphisms of algebraic groups give isomorphisms of their projective homogeneous spaces. Then X is a projective homogeneous space under $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$. By [MPW98, 6.10(e)], $X \simeq X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r$ where X_i is a projective homogeneous space under G_i for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $X(F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_i(F) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. By assumption, G_i has one of the types ${}^1A_n, {}^2A_n^*, B_n, C_n, {}^1D_n, {}^2D_n$. The type ${}^1A_n$ case has been proved by Reddy and Suresh [RS13, 2.6]. The type B_n case has been proved by Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh [CTPS12, 3.1]. By [Tit66, Table 1], if G_i has type ${}^2A_n^*$, then G_i is isogenous to $U(A, \sigma, h)$; if G_i has type B_n, C_n or D_n , then G_i is isogenous to $SU(A, \sigma, h)$. By [BT72, 2.20, (i)] again, we may assume that G_i is the unitary group or the special unitary group as above and hence X is as in eq. (2.2). The rest follow from Theorem 4.5. $\square$

5. APPLICATION: ODD DEGREE EXTENSIONS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. We begin with the following.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (L, v) be a complete discrete valued field and k_L the residue field of L with $\text{char } k_L \neq 2$. Let M be an odd degree extension of L , with residue field k_M . Suppose that for any central division k_L -algebra E with involution τ and any ε -hermitian form φ over (E, τ) , if φ_{k_M} is isotropic, then φ is isotropic. Let D be a central division L -algebra with an involution σ and $\text{per}(D) = 2$. Let h be an ε -hermitian form over (D, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. If h_M is isotropic, then h is isotropic.*

Proof. Since L is complete, the valuation v on L extends to a discrete valuation v' on M . Let t be a uniformizer of L , t' a uniformizer of M such that $(t')^e = t$ where $e = e(M/L)$. By [GS06, 4.5.11, 2.], $D' = D \otimes_L M$ is a division algebra. Let w be

the extension of v to D and w' the extension of v' to D' . Let π be a uniformizer of D and π' a uniformizer of D' . By [Lar99, prop. 2.7], there exists $x \in D$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad w(x) \equiv w(\pi) \pmod{2w(D^*)}, \quad \sigma(x) = \varepsilon x, \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}.$$

By the second to the last paragraph of [Wad02, p. 393], $e(D'/D)$ is a factor of $[M : L]$. Since $[M : L]$ is odd, $e(D'/D)$ is odd. Then $w'(\pi \otimes_L 1_M) \equiv w'(\pi') \pmod{2w'(D'^*)}$. Let $x' = x \otimes 1 \in D'$ and $\sigma(x') = \varepsilon x'$. By Larmour's theorem, Proposition 2.10,

$$(5.3) \quad h \simeq h_1 \perp h_2 x$$

where all diagonal entries of h_1 and h_2 have valuation 0 in D . Thus

$$(5.4) \quad h_M \simeq (h_1)_M \perp (h_2)_M(x \otimes_L 1_M) = (h_1)_M \perp (h_2)_M x'$$

In the following, an overline means “over the residue field”. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_M \text{ is isotropic,} \\ \iff & \text{one of } \overline{(h_i)_M} \text{ is isotropic over } (\overline{D \otimes_L M}, \overline{\sigma \otimes_L \text{Id}_M}), \\ & \text{by applying Proposition 2.10 to eq. (5.4).} \\ \iff & \text{one of } \overline{(h_i)_{k_M}} \text{ is isotropic over } (\overline{D} \otimes_{k_L} k_M, \overline{\sigma} \otimes_{k_L} \text{Id}_{k_M}). \\ \iff & \text{one of } \overline{h_i} \text{ is isotropic over } (\overline{D}, \overline{\sigma}), \text{ by the given condition on } k_M/k_L. \\ \iff & h \text{ is isotropic over } (D, \sigma), \text{ by applying Proposition 2.10 to eq. (5.3).} \end{aligned}$$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. □

5.5. Let L be an arbitrary field of characteristic not 2. Let M be an odd degree extension of L . For each discrete valuation v of L with valuation ring R_v and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_v$, let $\widehat{R}_v$ be its completion and $L_v = \text{Frac}(\widehat{R}_v)$. Let S be the integral closure of R_v in M and $\mathfrak{P}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be prime ideals of S lying over $\mathfrak{p}_v$. Let $\widehat{S}_i$ be the completion of S at $\mathfrak{P}_i$ and $M_i = \text{Frac}(\widehat{S}_i)$. By [CF67, p. 15, (2)],

$$M \otimes_L L_v \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n M_i.$$

Since $[M : L] = [M \otimes_L L_v : L_v] = \sum_{i=1}^n [M_i : L_v]$ is odd, there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $[M_j : L_v]$ is odd.

Lemma 5.6. *Let L be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic not 2. Let M be an odd degree extension of L . Let D be a division algebra over L such that $D \neq L$. Let σ be an involution of D . Let h be an ε -hermitian form over (D, σ) . If h_M is isotropic, then h is isotropic.*

Proof. Let σ be of the first kind. By [Sch85, ch. 10, 2.2(i)], D is the unique quaternion division algebra over L , and it suffices to apply [PSS01, 3.5].

Let σ be of the second kind. If $\varepsilon = -1$, by Hilbert 90 [Bou03, ch. V, § 11, no. 6, th. 3, a)], there exists $\mu \in Z(D) \setminus L$ such that $\sigma(\mu) = -\mu$. By scaling [Knu91, ch. I, 5.8], h is isotropic over (D, σ) if and only if $\mu^{-1}h$ is isotropic over (D, σ) , where $\text{Int}(\mu) \circ \sigma = \sigma$ and $\mu^{-1}h$ is a hermitian form. Hence we may assume that $\varepsilon = 1$. By [Sch85, ch. 10, 2.2(ii)], D/L is a quadratic field extension. Also D_M/M is a quadratic field extension. The conclusion follows from applying Springer's theorem [Spr52] to the trace quadratic forms of h and h_M [Sch85, ch. 10, 1.1(i)], respectively. □

Lemma 5.7. *Let L be a global field of characteristic not 2. Let M be an odd degree extension of L . Let D be a division L -algebra with an involution σ such that $D \neq L$ and $\text{per}(D) = 2$. Let h be an ε -hermitian form over (D, σ) . If h_M is isotropic, then h is isotropic.*

Proof. If σ is of the first kind, by [Sch85, ch. 10, 2.3(vi)], D is a quaternion division algebra and the result follows from [PSS01, 3.5].

Now suppose σ is of the second kind. Suppose $Z(D) = L(\sqrt{\lambda})$. Let Ω_L be all the places of L and Ω_M all the places of M . If $v \in \Omega_L$ such that λ is a square in L_v , by [Sch85, ch. 10, 6.3] $(D \otimes_L L_v, \sigma \otimes_L \text{Id}_{L_v})$ is a hyperbolic ring and h_{L_v} is always hyperbolic.

Suppose $v \in \Omega_L$ is such that λ is not a square in L_v . by 5.5 we have an odd degree extension M_j/L_v .

Case 1: v is non-archimedean and $D \otimes_L L_v$ is not split. Since h_M is isotropic, h_{M_j} is isotropic. By Lemma 5.6, h_{L_v} is isotropic.

Case 2: v is non-archimedean and $D \otimes_L L_v$ is split. Then $D \otimes M_j$ is split. Since h_M is isotropic, h_{M_j} is isotropic. Suppose h_{L_v} is Morita equivalent to a quadratic form q over L_v . Then h_{M_j} is Morita equivalent to the quadratic form q_{M_j} . Then q_{M_j} is isotropic. By [Spr52], q is isotropic over L_v . By Morita equivalence again, h_{L_v} is isotropic.

Case 3: v is archimedean. Any place $w \in \Omega_M$ that lies over v is still archimedean. Since $[M_j : L_v]$ is odd, $M_j = L_v \simeq \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Since h_M is isotropic, $h_{M_w} = h_{L_v}$ is isotropic.

By three cases above, h_{L_v} is isotropic for all $v \in \Omega_L$. Finally, by Landherr's local-global principle (see [Lan37] or [Sch85, ch. 10, 6.2]), h is isotropic. $\square$

Finally, we revisit and prove Theorem 1.5 as Theorem 5.8.

Theorem 5.8. *Let p be an odd prime. Let K be a p -adic field. Let F be the function field of a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve over K . Let Ω be the set of all rank one discrete valuations on F . Let A be a finite-dimensional central simple F -algebra with an involution σ of the first kind. Let $h : V \times V \rightarrow A$ be an ε -hermitian space over (A, σ) for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.*

Let M be an odd degree extension of F . If h_M is isotropic, then h is isotropic.

Proof. In fact, by Morita equivalence [Knu91, ch. I, 9.3.5], we assume that $A = D$ is a central division F -algebra. Suppose that h_M is isotropic. Let $\deg D = d$, $\dim_D(V) = m$ and $i_W(h_M)$ the Witt index of h_M . Then $1 \leq i_W(h_M) \leq \frac{m}{2}$ and $X_d(M) \neq \emptyset$, where X_d is as in section 2.1.

Suppose $i_W(h_M) = \frac{m}{2}$. Then h_M is hyperbolic. By [BFL90], h is hyperbolic.

Suppose that $i_W(h_M) < \frac{m}{2}$. Let $v \in \Omega$. By 5.5, we have an extension M_j/F_v such that $[M_j : F_v]$ is odd. Let k_j be the residue field of M_j and $k(v)$ the residue field of F_v . Since $e(M_j/F_v)f(M_j/F_v) = [M_j : F_v]$ is odd, $[k_j : k(v)] = f(M_j/F_v)$ is odd. Since $X_d(M) \neq \emptyset$, we have $X_d(M \otimes F_v) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $X_d(M_j) \neq \emptyset$. Since the residue fields are either local or global (see [Par14, 8.1]), $[k_j : k(v)]$ is odd and $\text{per}(D \otimes_F F_v) \nmid 2$, by Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7, the conditions in Lemma 5.1 are satisfied. By Morita equivalence and Lemma 5.1, $X_d(F_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all v . Finally by the Hasse principle Theorem 4.5, $X_d(F) \neq \emptyset$, so h is isotropic. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Abh69] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar, *Resolution of singularities of algebraic surfaces*, Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, pp. 1–11. MR 0257080 (41 #1734) [↑5](#), [↑15](#)
- [AG60a] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409. MR 0121392 (22 #12130) [↑10](#), [↑17](#)
- [AG60b] Maurice Auslander and Oscar Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 1–24. MR 0117252 (22 #8034) [↑10](#)
- [BFL90] E. Bayer-Fluckiger and Jr. Lenstra, H. W., *Forms in odd degree extensions and self-dual normal bases*, American Journal of Mathematics **112** (1990), no. 3, pp. 359–373 (English). [↑3](#), [↑19](#)
- [Bor91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR 1102012 (92d:20001) [↑17](#)
- [Bou03] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Algebra II. Chapters 4–7*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Translated from the 1981 French edition by P. M. Cohn and J. Howie, Reprint of the 1990 English edition [Springer, Berlin; MR1080964 (91h:00003)]. MR 1994218 [↑18](#)
- [Bou06] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 8 et 9*, Springer, Berlin, 2006, Reprint of the 1983 original. MR 2284892 (2007h:13001) [↑6](#)
- [Bou07] ———, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 9*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007, Reprint of the 1959 original. MR 2325344 (2008f:15001) [↑5](#)
- [BQM14] Jodi Black and Anne Quéguiner-Mathieu, *Involutions, odd degree extensions and generic splitting*, Enseign. Math. **60** (2014), no. 3–4, 377–395. MR 3342649 [↑3](#)
- [BS06] P. B. Barquero-Salavert, *Similitudes of algebras with involution under odd-degree extensions*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), no. 2, 625–632. MR 2211943 (2006j:16049) [↑3](#)
- [BT72] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits, *Compléments à l'article: “Groupes réductifs”*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1972), no. 41, 253–276. MR 0315007 (47 #3556) [↑17](#)
- [CF67] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich (eds.), *Algebraic number theory*, Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society (a NATO Advanced Study Institute) with the support of the International Mathematical Union., Academic Press, London; Thompson Book Co., Inc., Washington, D.C., 1967. MR 0215665 (35 #6500) [↑6](#), [↑18](#)
- [CP98] V. I. Chernousov and V. P. Platonov, *The rationality problem for semisimple group varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **504** (1998), 1–28. MR 1656830 (99i:14056) [↑3](#)
- [CTGP04] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, P. Gille, and R. Parimala, *Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over 2-dimensional geometric fields*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), no. 2, 285–341. MR 2034644 (2005f:11063) [↑2](#), [↑17](#)
- [CTOP02] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Ojanguren, and R. Parimala, *Quadratic forms over fraction fields of two-dimensional Henselian rings and Brauer groups of related schemes*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 16, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 2002, pp. 185–217. MR 1940669 (2004c:14031) [↑2](#)
- [CTPS12] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, and V. Suresh, *Patching and local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over function fields of p -adic curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), no. 4, 1011–1033. MR 2984579 [↑1](#), [↑2](#), [↑16](#), [↑17](#)
- [GS06] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 101, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2266528 (2007k:16033) [↑17](#)
- [HHK09] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, and D. Krashen, *Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 2, 231–263. MR 2545681 (2010j:11058) [↑2](#), [↑5](#), [↑15](#), [↑16](#)
- [HHK11] ———, *Local-global principles for torsors over arithmetic curves*, arXiv:1108.3323v5, 2011. [↑2](#), [↑15](#)
- [HHK15] David Harbater, Julia Hartmann, and Daniel Krashen, *Refinements to patching and applications to field invariants*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2015), pp. 1–52. [↑15](#)

- [Kar00] N. A. Karpenko, *Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties*, Algebra i Analiz **12** (2000), no. 1, 3–69. MR 1758562 (2001c:14076) [↑4](#)
- [KMRT98] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, With a preface in French by J. Tits. MR 1632779 (2000a:16031) [↑3](#), [↑4](#), [↑11](#), [↑13](#), [↑15](#)
- [Kne69] M. Kneser, *Lectures on Galois cohomology of classical groups*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1969, With an appendix by T. A. Springer, Notes by P. Jothilingam, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, No. 47. MR 0340440 (49 #5195) [↑5](#)
- [Knu91] M.-A. Knus, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, With a foreword by I. Bertuccioni. MR 1096299 (92i:11039) [↑4](#), [↑9](#), [↑13](#), [↑15](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#)
- [Lan37] W. Landherr, *Liesche ringe vom typus a über einem algebraischen zahlkörper (die lineare gruppe) und hermitesche formen über einem schiefkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **12** (1937), no. 1, 200–241. MR 3069686 [↑19](#)
- [Lar99] D. W. Larmour, *A Springer theorem for Hermitian forms and involutions*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1999, Thesis (Ph.D.)–New Mexico State University. MR 2699972 [↑6](#), [↑7](#), [↑18](#)
- [Lar06] ———, *A Springer theorem for Hermitian forms*, Math. Z. **252** (2006), no. 3, 459–472. MR 2207754 (2007b:16041) [↑7](#)
- [Lew00] D. W. Lewis, *The Witt semigroup of central simple algebras with involution*, Semigroup Forum **60** (2000), no. 1, 80–92. MR 1829932 (2002d:16025) [↑3](#)
- [Lip75] J. Lipman, *Introduction to resolution of singularities*, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975, pp. 187–230. MR 0389901 (52 #10730) [↑5](#), [↑15](#)
- [Mer96] A. S. Merkurjev, *R-equivalence and rationality problem for semisimple adjoint classical algebraic groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1996), no. 84, 189–213 (1997). MR 1441008 (98d:14055) [↑3](#)
- [Mor89] P. Morandi, *The Henselization of a valued division algebra*, J. Algebra **122** (1989), no. 1, 232–243. MR 994945 (90h:12007) [↑8](#)
- [MPW96] A. S. Merkurjev, I. A. Panin, and A. R. Wadsworth, *Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. I*, K-Theory **10** (1996), no. 6, 517–596. MR 1415325 (98c:16018) [↑4](#), [↑5](#)
- [MPW98] ———, *Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. II*, K-Theory **14** (1998), no. 2, 101–196. MR 1628279 (99k:16037) [↑4](#), [↑17](#)
- [Par14] R. Parimala, *A Hasse principle for quadratic forms over function fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **51** (2014), no. 3, 447–461. MR 3196794 [↑19](#)
- [PS14] R. Parimala and V. Suresh, *Period-index and u-invariant questions for function fields over complete discretely valued fields*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 1, 215–235. MR 3219517 [↑8](#)
- [PSS01] R. Parimala, R. Sridharan, and V. Suresh, *Hermitian analogue of a theorem of Springer*, J. Algebra **243** (2001), no. 2, 780–789. MR 1850658 (2002g:11043) [↑3](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#)
- [Rei03] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 28, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003, Corrected reprint of the 1975 original, With a foreword by M. J. Taylor. MR 1972204 (2004c:16026) [↑6](#)
- [RS13] B. S. Reddy and V. Suresh, *Admissibility of groups over function fields of p-adic curves*, Adv. Math. **237** (2013), 316–330. MR 3028580 [↑2](#), [↑8](#), [↑10](#), [↑11](#), [↑13](#), [↑17](#)
- [Sal97] D. J. Saltman, *Division algebras over p-adic curves*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), no. 1, 25–47. MR 1462850 (98d:16032) [↑9](#)
- [Sal98] ———, *Correction to: “Division algebras over p-adic curves” [J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), no. 1, 25–47; MR1462850 (98d:16032)]*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **13** (1998), no. 2, 125–129. MR 1666362 (99k:16036) [↑9](#)
- [Sal99] ———, *Lectures on division algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 94, Published by American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; on

- behalf of Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1999. MR 1692654 (2000f:16023) [↑9](#)
- [Sal07] ———, *Cyclic algebras over p -adic curves*, J. Algebra **314** (2007), no. 2, 817–843. MR 2344586 (2008i:16018) [↑9](#)
- [Sch85] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. MR 770063 (86k:11022) [↑18](#), [↑19](#)
- [Ser79] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. MR 554237 (82e:12016) [↑2](#)
- [Spr52] Tonny Albert Springer, *Sur les formes quadratiques d'indice zéro*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **234** (1952), 1517–1519. MR 0047021 (13,815j) [↑2](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#)
- [Tit66] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966, 1966, pp. 33–62. MR 0224710 (37 #309) [↑17](#)
- [Wad86] Adrian R. Wadsworth, *Extending valuations to finite-dimensional division algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), no. 1, 20–22. MR 848866 (87i:16025) [↑6](#)
- [Wad02] A. R. Wadsworth, *Valuation theory on finite dimensional division algebras*, Valuation theory and its applications, Vol. I (Saskatoon, SK, 1999), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 385–449. MR 1928379 (2003g:16023) [↑18](#)

ZHENGYAO WU, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EMORY UNIVERSITY, 400 DOWMAN DRIVE, W401, ATLANTA, GA 30322
E-mail address: `zwu22@mathcs.emory.edu`